

BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION ECEIVED

MARC SPITZER
CHAIRMAN
JIM IRVIN
COMMISSIONER
WILLIAM MUNDELL
COMMISSIONER
JEFF HATCH-MILLER
COMMISSIONER
MIKE GLEASON
COMMISSIONER

Arizona Corporation Commission DOCKETED
MAR 1 9 2003
DOCKETED BY

2003 MAR 19 A 10: 41

AZ CORP COMMISSION DOCUMENT CONTROL

IN THE MATTER OF THE GENERIC INVESTIGATION INTO U S WEST COMMUNICATIONS, INC.'S COMPLIANCE WITH CERTAIN WHOLESALE PRICING REQUIREMENTS FOR UNBUNDLED NETWORK ELEMENTS AND RESALE DISCOUNTS) Docket No. T-00000A-00-0194))))))))
ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION,) Docket No. T-01051B-02-0871
Complainant,))
v.))
QWEST CORPORATION,))
Respondent.)))

COMMENTS ON STAFF RESPONSE TO MOTIONS OF MTI, QWEST AND TIME WARNER

Mountain Telecommunications, Inc. (MTI), by its attorneys, hereby submits its comments on Staff's Response to the Motions of MTI, Qwest and Time Warner.

Among the matters addressed by Staff is the motion for injunction which was filed by MTI on January 16, 2003 in the above-captioned proceedings. In that motion, MTI asked the Commission to enjoin Qwest from charging unlawful rates for certain unbundled network elements, specifically, transport and Local Interconnection Service, based upon Qwest's implementation of the Commission's June 12, 2002 Decision No. 64922 in Docket No. T-00000A-00-0194 (Phase II Order).

Following receipt of MTI's motion, the Commission Staff conducted discovery and has concluded that Qwest's application of combined transport and entrance facility rates has resulted in "an unexpected and unreasonable rate increase" not intended by the Phase II Order. As noted by Staff, the new transport rates were based on a "mistaken assumption" that whenever CLECs purchase circuits they would incur entrance facility charges. That assumption is not correct and has resulted in Qwest charging new rates which include entrance facility charges, even in situations in which no entrance facility is needed nor provided. In short, as stated correctly by Staff, Qwest is now charging certain customers for facilities which it is not providing.

Staff has recommended that the Commission use its authority under A.R.S. § 40-252 to reopen the record of Phase II on its own initiative and grant such relief as is necessary to ensure transport rates which are consistent with the Commission's expectations in Decision No. 64922. To achieve this objective, Staff offers two options: 1) reinstate the prior Interconnection Entrance Facility and Direct Trunked Transport recurring rates so that carriers would only pay for the facilities they are actually leasing from Qwest; or 2) require Qwest to deduct the prior Entrance Facility recurring rate from the new combined rate for those Direct Trunked Transports to which the prior Entrance Facility rate did not apply (or for new Direct Trunked Transport, deduct from those to which the Entrance Facility rate would not have applied).

MTI commends Staff for engaging in the necessary discovery and for accurately identifying how the unexpected and unreasonable transport rate increases came about. MTI also believes that the unreasonable rates resulting from Qwest's implementation of Decision No. 64922 could be achieved through either of the two options identified by Staff. However, MTI believes that Staff's first option is the preferable approach and should be mandated by the Commission. Immediate reinstatement of the pre-Decision No. 64922 Interconnection Entrance Facility and Direct Trunked Transport rates pending completion of Phase III would achieve the needed rate adjustments in the most efficient manner for all affected parties, including Qwest as well as those CLECs (including MTI) who utilize Qwest Transport in order to provide competing local telecommunications services. Staff's first option could be implemented immediately with the proper rates appearing on the next set of invoices, whereas the second option would require recalculation of many rates being charged to many carriers. As the Commission is well-aware, it took Qwest more than six months to implement the rate changes required by Decision No. 64922. MTI fears that the re-rating of services which would be necessitated by the second option could similarly result in long delays in implementation.

Finally, MTI requests that the Commission, in acting on Staff's recommendation, should specify that the rate adjustments contemplated should be made effective retroactively to June 12, 2002 – the effective date of Decision No. 64922.

Respectfully submitted,

MOUNTAIN TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC.

Robert S. Kant

GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP 2375 East Camelback Road Suite 700 Phoenix, Arizona 85016 (602) 445-8000

Its Attorneys

Of Counsel:

Mitchell F. Brecher Debra McGuire Mercer GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP 800 Connecticut Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20006 (202) 331-3100

March 18, 2003

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I have this day served the foregoing Motion to Postpone Procedural Conference on all parties of record in these proceedings by mailing a copy thereof, properly addressed with first class postage prepaid to the following:

Timothy Berg FENNEMORE CRAIG 3003 North Central Avenue Suite 2600 Phoenix, AZ 85012

QWEST Corporation 1801 California Street Suite 5100 Denver, CO 80202

Richard S. Wolters Michel Singer Nelson AT&T 1875 Lawrence Street Room 1575 Denver, CO 80202-1847

Michael W. Patten
ROSHKA, HEYMAN & DEWULF
One Arizona Center
400 East Van Buren Street
Suite 800
Phoenix, AZ 85004
Attorneys for Cox Arizona Telecom, Inc.,
e-spire™ Communications, and McLeodUSA Telecommunications Services, Inc.

Michael Grant
GALLAGHER & KENNEDY
2575 East Camelback Road
Phoenix, AZ 85016-9225
Attorneys for Electric Lightwave, Inc., COVAD
Communications, Inc. and New Edge Networks

Thomas H. Campbell
LEWIS & ROCA
40 North Central Avenue
Phoenix, AZ 85007
Attorneys for Rhythms Links, Inc. and
Time Warner Telecom of Arizona, LLC

Thomas F. Dixon, Jr. MCI WorldCom 707 17th Street Denver, CO 80202

Darren S. Weingard Stephen H. Kukta SPRINT COMMUNICATIONS CO. 1850 Gateway Drive 7th Floor San Mateo, CA 94404-2467

Scott S. Wakefield RUCO 2828 North Central Avenue Suite 1200 Phoenix, AZ 85004

Raymond S. Heyman Randall H. Warner ROSHKA, HEYMAN & DeWULF 400 East Van Buren Street Suite 800 Phoenix, AZ 85004

Jeffrey W. Crockett Jeffrey B. Guldner SNELL & WILMER One Arizona Center Phoenix, AZ 85004-2202

Mary E. Steele
DAVIS WRIGHT TREMAINE LLP
2600 Century Square
1501 Fourth Avenue
Seattle, WA 98101-1688
Attorneys for AT&T Communications of the Mountain States, Inc.

Marti Allbright MPOWER COMMUNICATIONS 5711 South Benton Circle Littleton, CO 80123 Kevin Chapman
Director-Regulatory Relations
5800 Northwest Parkway
Suite 125, Room I-S-20
San Antonio, TX 78249

Joyce B. Hundley United States Department of Justice Antitrust Division City Center Building 1401 H Street, NW Suite 8000 Washington, D.C. 20530

Lyndon J. Godfrey AT&T 795 Folsom Street Suite 2104 San Francisco, CA 94107

Christopher Kempley, Chief Counsel LEGAL DIVISION 1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, AZ 85007

Ernest G. Johnson, Director UTILITIES DIVISION 1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, AZ 85007

Dated at Washington, D.C., this 18th day of March, 2003.

Michelle B. Diedrick

/115563 v1